	FILED
1	NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel 2011 SEP 26 PM 12: ( )
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EDGAR P. CORAL Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3898 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX In the matter of: ) Docket No. EPCRA-09-2011-DD15
12 13 14	Western Metal Decorating Company,)CONSENT AGREEMENT)AND FINAL ORDER)pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b),Respondent.))22.18(b)(2), and 22.18(b)(3)
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	<ol> <li><u>CONSENT AGREEMENT</u>         The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX ("EPA"), and Western Metal Decorating Company (the "Respondent") agree to settle this matter and consent to the entry of this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO").         <u>A. AUTHORITY AND PARTIES</u>         1. This is a civil administrative action brought under Section 325(c) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), for assessment of a civil administrative penalty against Respondent for its failure to submit timely, complete and correct Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Forms for calendar years 2008 and 2009 in violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the implementing regulations set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.         2. Complainant is the Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division in EPA, Region IX (the "Complainant"). Pursuant to EPA Delegation Order Number 22-3-A, dated May 11, 1994, the Administrator of EPA has delegated the authority to file this action under EPCRA     </li> </ol>

to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region IX, and pursuant to EPA Regional Order Number
 R1260.14B, dated May 19, 2005, the Regional Administrator re-delegated that authority to
 Complainant.

# B. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BASIS

3. Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA
promulgated regulations on February 16, 1988 (53 Fed. Reg. 4525), setting forth requirements for
the submission of information relating to the release of toxic chemicals under EPCRA Section
313. These regulations, as amended, are presently codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

9 4. Sections 313(a) and (b) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023(a) and (b), and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.22 and 372.30, provide that the owner or operator of a facility must submit to EPA and 10 the State in which the facility is located a chemical release form published under Section 313(g) 11 of EPCRA for each toxic chemical or toxic chemical category listed under Section 313(c) of 12 EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 that it manufactured, processed or otherwise used if: (i) the 13 facility has ten or more full-time employees; (ii) the facility is in North American Industry 14 15 Classification System Code 332439; and (iii) the facility manufactured, processed or otherwise 16 used during the calendar year the listed toxic chemical or toxic chemical category in excess of the threshold quantity established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25. 17

5. Pursuant to Section 313(g) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(g), EPA published a
 uniform Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Form (hereinafter referred to as a "Form R") for
 facilities that are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 313. Sections 313(a) and (b) of
 EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023(a) and (b), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30(d), provide that each Form R for
 activities involving a toxic chemical or toxic chemical category that occurred during a calendar
 year must be submitted on or before July 1 of the next year.

24

4

# C. ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

6. Respondent is a corporation and therefore fits within the definition of a "person," as
provided in Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7).

27 7. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent owned and operated a facility (the
28 "Facility") in the business of manufacturing and finishing fabricated metal products, located at

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re Western Metal Decorating Company

8875 Industrial Lane in Rancho Cucamonga, California, that fits within the definition of a 1 2 "facility," as provided in Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4).

3

4

6

8. At all times relevant to this matter, the Facility had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3.

5 9. At all times relevant to this matter, the Facility was in North American Industry Classification System Code 332439.

7 10. During the calendar year 2008, Respondent "otherwise used," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 26,312 pounds of certain glycol ethers, a toxic chemical 8 category listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 10,000 9 pound threshold for reporting "otherwise use" of that toxic chemical category established under 10 Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25. 11

12 11. During the calendar year 2008, Respondent "otherwise used," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 29,205 pounds of xylene (mixed isomers), a toxic chemical 13 listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 10,000 pound 14 threshold for reporting "otherwise use" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of 15 EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25. 16

12. During the calendar year 2008, Respondent "otherwise used," as that term is defined 17 in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 11,015 pounds of methyl n-butyl alcohol, a toxic chemical 18 listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 10,000 pound 19 threshold for reporting "otherwise use" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of 20 21 EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

13. During the calendar year 2009, Respondent "otherwise used," as that term is defined 22 23 in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 16,696 pounds of certain glycol ethers, a toxic chemical category listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 10,000 24 25 pound threshold for reporting "otherwise use" of that toxic chemical category established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25. 26

27 14. During the calendar year 2009, Respondent "otherwise used," as that term is defined 28 in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 10,782 pounds of xylene (mixed isomers), a toxic chemical

listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, at the Facility. This quantity exceeded the 10,000 pound
 threshold for reporting "otherwise use" of that toxic chemical established under Section 313(f) of
 EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.

15. Respondent was required to submit a Form R for certain glycol ethers to EPA and the State of California for calendar year 2008 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2009.

6 16. Respondent was required to submit a Form R for xylenc (mixed isomers) to EPA and
7 the State of California for calendar year 2008 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2009.

8 17. Respondent was required to submit a Form R for n-butyl alcohol to EPA and the
9 State of California for calendar year 2008 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2009.

10 18. Respondent was required to submit a Form R for certain glycol ethers to EPA and the
11 State of California for calendar year 2009 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2010.

12 19. Respondent was required to submit a Form R for xylene (mixed isomers) to EPA and
13 the State of California for calendar year 2009 for the Facility on or before July 1, 2010.

20. Respondent failed to timely submit the Form Rs required of it to EPA and the State
of California for calendar years 2008 and 2009 for the Facility and thus violated Section 313 of
EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

17 21. Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, provide that any person who violates any 18 19 requirement of Section 313 shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$37,500 for each such violation that occurred on or after January 12, 2009. Under 20 21 the Enforcement Response Policy for Section 313 of EPCRA, dated August 10, 1992, and the 22 Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, the five violations cited above would merit an unadjusted, gravity-based civil penalty of TWENTY-NINE THOUSAND, THREE HUNDRED 23 DOLLARS (\$29,300) given the nature, circumstances, and extent of the violations alleged. 24

25

4

5

# D. <u>RESPONDENT'S ADMISSIONS</u>

26 22. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding,
27 Respondent: (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over
28 Respondent; (ii) admits the specific factual allegations contained in Section I.C of this CAFO;

(iii) consents to any and all conditions specified in this CAFO and to the assessment of the civil
 administrative penalty under Section I.E of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the
 allegations contained in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed Final Order
 contained in this CAFO.

#### E. AUDIT POLICY

6 23. EPA's final policy statement on Incentives for Self-Policing: Discovery, Disclosure, 7 Correction and Prevention of Violations, 65 Federal Register 19618 (April 11, 2000) (the "Audit 8 Policy") has several important goals, including encouraging greater compliance with the laws and 9 regulations which protect human health and the environment and reducing transaction costs associated with violations of the laws EPA is charged with administering. If certain specified 10 criteria are met, reductions in gravity-based penalties of up to 100% are available under the Audit 11 12 Policy. These criteria are: (1) systematic discovery of the violation(s) through an environmental audit or compliance management system; (2) voluntary disclosure; (3) prompt disclosure; (4) 13 discovery and disclosure independent of government or third party plaintiff; (5) correction and 14 15 remediation; (6) prevent recurrence; (7) no repeat violations; (8) other violations excluded; and (9) cooperation. 16

17 24. Complainant has determined that Respondent has satisfied all of the criteria under the
18 Audit Policy and thus qualifies for the elimination of civil penalties in this matter. Accordingly,
19 the civil penalty assessed in this matter is ZERO DOLLARS (\$0).

20 25. Complainant's finding that Respondent has satisfied the criteria of the Audit Policy is
based upon documentation that Respondent has provided to establish that it satisfies these
criteria. Complainant and Respondent agree that, should any material fact upon which
Complainant relied in making its finding subsequently prove to be other than as represented by
Respondent, this CAFO may be voided in whole or in part.

25

5

# F. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

26 26. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that (1) it has now fully completed and
submitted to EPA all of the required Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Forms in compliance
with Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the regulations promulgated thereunder;

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re Western Metal Decorating Company

1	and (2) it has complied with all other EPCRA requirements at all facilities under its control.
2	G. <u>RETENTION OF RIGHTS</u>
3	27. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves Respondent's
4	liabilities for federal civil penalties for the violations and facts specifically alleged in Section I.C
5	of this CAFO. Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to resolve: (i) any civil
6	liability for violations of any provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute, regulation, rule,
7	ordinance, or permit not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal
8	liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and remedies available to it
9	(including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address
10	any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO.
11	28. This CAFO does not exempt, relieve, modify, or affect in any way Respondent's
12	duties to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances,
13	and permits.
14	H. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS
15	29. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements incurred in
16	this proceeding.
17	I. <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>
18	30. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), this CAFO shall be
19	effective on the date that the Final Order contained in this CAFO, having been approved and
20	issued by either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed.
21	J. <u>BINDING EFFECT</u>
22	31. The undersigned representative of Complainant and the undersigned representative of
23	Respondent each certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions
24	of this CAFO and to bind the party he or she represents to this CAFO.
25	32. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent and its
26	officers, directors, employees, agents, trustees, servants, authorized representatives, successors,
27	and assigns.
28	
	Consent Agreement and Final Order Page 6 In re Western Metal Decorating Company

Page 6

FOR RESPONDENT WESTERN METAL DECORATING COMPANY: 9/14/11 DATE SCOTT L. BROTZMAN CEO Western Metal Decorating Company 8875 Industrial Lane Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 FOR COMPLAINANT EPA: up for ENRIQUE MANZANILLA Director, Communities and Ecosystems Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105 Consent Agreement and Final Order Page 7 In re Western Metal Decorating Company

1	II. <u>FINAL ORDER</u>
2	EPA and Western Metal Decorating Company having entered into the foregoing Consent
3	Agreement,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this CAFO (Docket No. EPCRA-09-2011-005) be
5	entered, and Respondent shall comply with the terms and conditions set forth in the Consent
6	Agreement.
7	
8	
9	09/23/11 DATE STEVEN JAWGIEL
10	Regional Judicial Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Consent Agreement and Final Order Page 8 In re Western Metal Decorating Company

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order against **Western Metal Decorating Company (Docket #: EPCRA-09-2011-0015)** was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region JX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, and that a true and correct copy of the same was sent to the following parties:

A copy was mailed via CERTIFIED MAIL to:

Scott L. Brotzman CEO Western Metal Decorating Company 8875 Industrial Lane Rancho Cucamongo, CA 91730

**CERTIFIED MAIL NUMBER:** 7010-1060-0002-0234-7038

An additional copy was hand-delivered to the following U.S. EPA case attorney:

Edgar Coral, Esq. Office of Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Bryan K. Goodwin Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. EPA, Region IX

9/26/11



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

SEP 2 2 2011

Certified Mail No. 7010 1060 0002 0234 7038 \* Return Receipt Requested

Re: EPCRA-09-2011-0015

Scott L. Brotzman CEO Western Metal Decorating Company 8875 Industrial Lane Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Dear Mr. Brotzman:

Enclosed please find your copy of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Sections 22.13 and 22.18, which contains the terms of the settlement reached with the EPA Region IX Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Program. Your completion of all actions enumerated in the Consent Agreement and Final Order will close this case.

If you have any questions, please contact Lily Lee at (415) 947-4187 or have your attorney contact Edgar Coral at (415) 972-3898.

Sincerel

Enrique Manzanilla, Director Communities and Ecosystems Division

Enclosure